Jobes, D. A., Crumlish, J. A., & Evan, A. (in press). The COVID-19 pandemic and treating suicidal risk: The telepsychotherapy use of CAMS. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*.

The COVID-19 Pandemic and Treating Suicidal Risk:

The Telepsychotherapy Use of CAMS

David A. Jobes, Ph.D. and Jennifer A. Crumlish, Ph.D.

The Catholic University of America

Andrew Evans

CAMS-care, LLC

Author Note

Dr. Jobes discloses the following potential conflicts: Grant support for clinical trial research from the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention and the National Institute of Mental Health; book royalties from American Psychological Association Press and Guilford Press; Founder and Partner of CAMS-care, LLC (a clinical training/consulting company). Dr. Crumlish is Consultant to CAMS-care, LLC and Mr. Evans is President of CAMS-care, LLC. Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to David A. Jobes, Ph.D., The Catholic University of America, Department of Psychology, 314 O'Boyle Hall, Washington, DC 20064. Email: jobes@cua.edu

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has created profound challenges for healthcare systems worldwide. The exponential spread of COVID-19 has forced mental health providers to find new ways of providing mental health services that maintain physical distance and keeps providers and patients at home limiting possible exposure to the deadly virus. The pandemic has thus sparked a sudden interest in providing mental health services via telepsychotherapy (otherwise known as telehealth or telemedicine). Telepsychotherapy care has some inherent challenges that must always be mastered by providers to render effective care. Previous research and professional guidelines understandably note possible concerns about providing telepsychotherapy care to high risk suicidal patients in a remote location. The coronavirus pandemic now poses all new ethical concerns about the routine practice of having acutely an suicidal patient go to an emergency department and/or admitting such patients to an inpatient psychiatric unit (if the public health goal is to limit the spread of this deadly virus). To this end, this article describes a pandemicdriven effort to rapidly provide support, guidance, and resources to providers around the world to use a suicide-focused and evidence-based intervention called the Collaborative Assessment and Management of Suicidality (CAMS) within a telepsychotherapy. Additional suicide-relevant resources are being made available to provide further guidance and support to mental health professionals worldwide. In the midst of a worldwide pandemic, there are emerging ways to help reduce further loss of life to suicide through the medium of telepsychotherapy to provide effective clinical care that is suicide-focused and evidence-based.

Keywords: COVID-19, Telepsychotherapy, Suicide Treatment, CAMS

The COVID-19 Pandemic and Treating Suicidal Risk:

The Telepsychotherapy Use of CAMS

Suicide is the 10th leading cause of death in the United States accounting for 48,344 lives lost in 2018 (Drapeau & McIntosh, 2020). Increasing rates of suicide deaths over the past 50 years are alarming (refer to Fig 1). While there was a flickering hope of perhaps lowering the rate of suicide in the late 1990's, the past twenty years have seen a marked increase in suicides with no clear understanding as to why these deaths continue to increase. Notably the field of suicide prevention has grown markedly over these twenty years in terms of research and policy initiatives, but these efforts do not seem to be having an impact on the overall rate of suicide.

The public health challenge of suicide is even more troubling when we consider that in 2017 approximately 1,400,000 adult Americans made suicide attempts and a staggering 10,600,000 American adults had *serious* thoughts of ending their lives (SAMHSA, 2018). As noted by Jobes and Joiner (2019), there is insufficient attention paid to suicidal ideation in terms of treatment research, clinical practice, and mental health policy that primary focuses on suicidal behaviors. Suicidal behaviors are understandably a major public health and mental health focus, but these populations are dwarfed by those struggling with serious suicidal ideation. If suicidal children and teenagers are added to the mix, the population of those with serious suicidal thoughts may well approach 13,000,000. While we are noting American data, similar trends exist worldwide (refer to https://www.who.int/mental_health/prevention/suicide/suicideprevent/en/).

In terms of treating suicidal risk it is important to note that the most common clinical responses to suicidality (e.g., the use of medication or inpatient hospitalization) have limited to no empirical support (Jobes, 2017; Jobes & Chalker, 2019). Interestingly, suicide-focused psychological treatments with *replicated* randomized controlled trial (RCT) support (e.g.,

Dialectical Behavior Therapy, Cognitive Therapy for Suicide Prevention, Brief Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, and the Collaborative Assessment and Management of Suicidality) are not widely used within routine clinical practice. To this end Jobes (2017) has hypothesized that countertransference issues related to working with suicidal patients, fears about malpractice litigation, and a lack of knowledge about effective suicide assessment and treatment may lead to defensive clinical practices (e.g., the potential overuse of inpatient hospitalization). Nevertheless, the RCT research has begun to influence major suicide-specific policy initiatives from The Joint Commission (2016) and the National Action Alliance for Suicide Prevention which may ultimately help transform clinical practices over time by emphasizing the importance of directly treating suicidal ideation and behaviors with evidence-based practices independent of psychiatric diagnoses (refer to "Zero Suicide" <u>https://zerosuicide.edc.org/</u>).

The COVID-19 Pandemic and Suicidal Risk

The worldwide pandemic spread of a novel coronavirus (referred to as COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2 by the World Health Organization) has led to hundreds of thousands of Americans getting sick and tens of thousands more dying (as of this writing) from this extremely contagious virus. There is evidence within the suicidology literature that previous public health crises (e.g., SARS in Hong Kong) can be significantly associated with increased suicide risk among certain sub-samples (Yip, Cheung, Chau, & Law, 2010). Social isolation, economic downturn, and unemployment have also long been associated with increased suicidal risk (refer to Maris, 2019 for an extensive review). Given the profound impact of this pandemic worldwide, there is reason to believe that we will see significant increases in stress and anxiety in the face of an uncertain future. Needless to say, people who struggle with underlying anxiety disorders, certain phobias (e.g., a germ phobia), and obsessive-compulsive disorder (e.g., repetitive handwashing behavior) will likely be disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Beyond turning personal and professional lives upside down, the pandemic has suddenly created a crisis as to how we now effectively provide clinical services, as well as train and appropriately supervise trainees and unlicensed providers within a physical distancing reality that is needed to "flatten the curve" of the spread of COVID-19. Professional organizations, licensure boards, and leaders within mental health community have scrambled to respond to existing and future needs for mental health providers to deliver clinical services, training, and supervision when mental health professionals are being required to ensure physical distance, to stay at home, and to avoid potential exposure to avert viral transmission. The pandemic crisis has suddenly led to an explosion of interest in providing professional services through telepsychotherapy (also called telehealth, telemedicine, telepsychology, etc.). It is possible that years from now when we will look back at this time, we may see that this pandemic created a major turning point in the delivery of healthcare around the world wherein face-to-face clinical care becomes displaced by initial and routine use of virtual telehealth in medicine and telepsychotherapy in mental health.

Mental health care with suicidal patients has long been known to have many inherent clinical and professional challenges (Jobes & Maltsberger, 1995). But these inherent challenges are further complicated when mental health care for suicidal risk must be provided through telepsychotherapy. In a study conducted by Gilmore and Ward-Ciesielski (2019) with 52 mental health providers, three perceived risks related to using "telemedicine" with suicidal patients were found. These perceived risks include: (a) remote assessment challenges, (b) lack of control over patient, and (c) difficulties triaging patients if that is needed. While working with suicidal patients is not explicitly excluded in telepsychotherapy recommendations (e.g., APA, 2013;

Yellowlees, Shore, & Roberts, 2010), expert guidance does nevertheless appropriately emphasize the importance of being prepared through thorough informed consent for a suicidal emergency with a remotely located suicidal patient. In the Gilmore and Ward-Ciesielski (2017) study, it is noteworthy that only 21.2% of the sample endorsed the use of telemedicine for patients at high risk for suicide which reflects a general wariness to using telemedicine with suicidal patients.

Within a post-pandemic reality, there is yet another major complication related to the routine clinical practice of routing an acutely suicidal person to an emergency department (we will refer to "ED" which is the preferred term by providers vs. "emergency room" or "ER"). Indeed, the ubiquitous practice of recommending that a patient "go to their nearest emergency department if this is a mental health emergency" on one's professional voice message is now suddenly ill-advised in the midst of the global coronavirus pandemic. From an ethical perspective, how can we argue that such a professional recommendation is now in the patient's best interest if it means putting the patient and others-including other patients and overtaxed ED providers—at increased risk of contracting or spreading the virus? Moreover, is a psychiatric inpatient hospitalization similarly putting a suicidal patient at increased risk given the highly contagious nature of this novel coronavirus? While the relative merits and limits of inpatient care has been hotly debated in the field pre-pandemic (e.g., Large, Ryan, Walsh, Parbury & Patsfield, 2013), the exponentially deadly transmission of COVID-19 must give us pause to reconsider the value of such an intervention if the overall public health goal is to maintain physical distance, to stay at home, and limit potential exposure, all of which are needed public health interventions to flatten the curve of viral transmission and spread. Whether or not mental health providers are prepared to embrace the pandemic implications for suicidal risk, major politicians (including the United States President) are readily talking about the

TELEPSYCHOTHERAPY USE OF CAMS

implications of the pandemic fueling dramatic increases in suicides secondary to unemployment and a pandemic-related economic recession or depression (<u>https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/fact-</u> <u>checking-trumps-claim-suicide-thousands-economic-shutdown/story?id=69790273</u>).</u>

Given these various and considerable challenges wrought by the pandemic, a timely and decisive response to the potential loss of life to both the novel coronavirus and to suicide risk is needed. An "either/or" position is not acceptable; a "both/and" approach is required to save as many lives as possible from the virus and from suicide. In the midst of a global pandemic, it is ethically or morally indefensible to refuse to see or turn away a suicidal person who is seeking care. But modifications to our mindset about that care is urgently needed to help save lives from suicide and avert further "collateral damage" secondary to the coronavirus global pandemic.

A Pandemic-Driven Effort to Provide Effective Suicide-Focused Care

As the COVID-19 pandemic exponentially spread in the U.S. in March of 2020, there was an emergent need for mental health professionals to modify their provision of mental health services (with clear implications for professional training, as well as supervising unlicensed providers). Fully realizing that suicidal people would continue to be suicidal (and if anything risk would likely increase given the worldwide increase in anxiety, fear, and the existential threat posed by the pandemic), there was a pressing need for decisive action to help providers save lives from suicide. To this end, we moved briskly to provide a range of free professional resources to rapidly help provide support and guidance to providers who are in a position to care for suicidal patients in the midst of the pandemic. What follows is an overview of one evidencebased suicide-focused intervention and our recent pandemic-driven efforts to modify the standard use of this intervention to accommodate its delivery via telepsychotherapy.

The Collaborative Assessment and Management of Suicidality (CAMS)

As described by Jobes (2006, 2016), CAMS is a suicide-focused therapeutic framework that uses a multi-purpose assessment, treatment planning, tracking, and clinical outcome tool called the Suicide Status Form (SSF—refer to Figure 2). The SSF "Core Assessment" items (i.e., ratings of psychological pain, stress, agitation, self-hate, hopelessness, and overall risk of suicide) are repeatedly assessed across every phase of CAMS-guided care. The SSF Core Assessment has excellent validity and reliability with suicidal college students (Jobes et al., 1997), high-risk suicidal inpatients (Conrad et al., 2009), and suicidal teenagers (Brausch et al., 2019). Within the CAMS framework the first session version of the SSF has various qualitative assessments to comprehensively assess risk (Brancu, Jobes, Wagner, Greene, & Fratto, 2016; Hamadi et al., 2019; Jobes & Mann, 1999; Jobes et al., 2004) and an assessment-oriented metaanalysis has previously showed that the SSF functions as a *therapeutic assessment* (Poston & Hanson, 2010). A signature feature of CAMS is a side-by-side seating arrangement (always with a patient's permission) at the start of each CAMS session for collaborative assessment and at the end of each session to facilitate suicide-focused treatment planning "co-authored" by the dyad.

Within its clinical research evolution, CAMS has developed into proven a suicidefocused intervention, that treats patient-defined "suicidal drivers"—self-identified problems that make the patient suicidal (Jobes, 2016). CAMS is not a new psychotherapy; rather it functions as a therapeutic framework that is theoretically "non-denominational" and integrative. Within this suicide-focused framework, CAMS providers can employ the full spectrum of possible clinical interventions (e.g., CBT, insight-oriented work, behavioral activation, and medication) using different treatment modalities to effectively target and treat patient-identified suicidal drivers. CAMS can be effectively used across a range of outpatient and inpatient treatment settings with different suicidal populations (community mental health, counseling center, private practice, or inpatient care). CAMS can be effectively used within a stepped-care approach to suicidal risk that emphasizes the use of suicide-focused care that is evidence-based, least-restrictive, and cost-effective for achieving optimal clinical outcomes (Jobes, Gregorian, & Colborn, 2018).

There are now five RCT's with various suicidal samples showing replicated support for CAMS. Across RCT's, CAMS significantly reduces suicidal ideation in 4-8 sessions (Comtois et al., 2011; Jobes et al., 2017; Pistorello et al., in press), overall symptom distress at 12-month follow-up (Comtois et al., 2011; Ryberg, Zahl, Diep, Landro, & Fosse, 2019), and depression (Pistorello et al., in press). CAMS also significantly increases hope, patient satisfaction, and retention to care relative to treatment as usual (Comtois et al., 2011). In non-randomized comparison-controlled trials, CAMS was significantly associated with decreases in suicidal ideation (Jobes, Wong, Conrad, Drozd, & Neal-Walden, 2005; Ellis, Rufino, & Allen, 2017; Ellis, Rufino, Allen, Fowler, & Jobes, 2015), emergency department and primary care visits (Jobes et al., 2005), depression, hopelessness, and functional disability (Ellis et al., 2017) relative to treatment as usual (TAU). Statistically significant increases in subjective well-being and psychological flexibility, in addition to changes in suicidal cognitions, have also been associated with CAMS when compared to TAU care (Ellis et al., 2017). While there are encouraging trending data that CAMS may help reduce self-harm and suicide attempts on par with DBT (Andreasson et al., 2016), definitive RCT data on the impact of CAMS on suicidal behaviors is lacking but is still being investigated in three on-going CAMS RCTs with suicide-attempting patients discharged from inpatient psychiatric care, suicidal veterans in outpatient care, and suicidal inpatients in Germany. Although RCT's are the "gold standard" in science for studying the *causal* impact of an intervention, there are also eight published trials reporting *correlational* data providing additional supportive data for using CAMS—see Jobes (2012) for a full review.

Moderator analyses from three CAMS RCTs have yielded additional supportive data. Among subsets of highly suicidal Soldiers (Huh et al., 2018), CAMS significantly increased resiliency while decreasing overall symptom distress and emergency department visits. In subsets of community-based suicidal outpatients and inpatients in Oslo Norway (Ryberg, Diep, Landrø, & Fosse, 2019), CAMS improved care when there was a poor working alliance at baseline. Pistorello and colleagues (in press) have recently found that CAMS significantly reduced hopelessness among "less complex" suicidal college students (i.e., those without a multiple suicide attempt history or borderline personality disorder features).

The clinical use of CAMS can be supplementary to other mental health treatments, or it can be used as a means to optimally stabilize a suicidal patient for further treatments. CAMS can be initiated with new patients with current suicidal risk and it can always be used for cases within on-going care if suicide emerges as a source of concern. In our experience, CAMS with a new patient can expedite the formation of the therapeutic alliance because it is patient-centered, empathic, and collaborative—the CAMS engagement can often be quite bonding. If CAMS is used within on-going care, the framework and collaborative use of the SSF provides valuable structure and guidance for the clinical dyad to maintain and even further deepen their alliance. In other words, within on-going care, a patient's emerging suicidality does not have to become a divisive issue for the clinical relationship. Finally, across clinical trial studies and routine use of CAMS, the approach appears to be effective for a wide range of patients including those with varying degrees of suicidal intensity as well as those with and without significant intent or plans.

The Telepsychotherapy Use of CAMS

As previously noted, while providers may have some reluctance to render mental health treatment to suicidal patients via telepsychotherapy, the global pandemic demands an open mind to the virtues of this approach. To this end, CAMS has already been piloted and used effectively in a range of clinical settings. The CAMS protocol for telepsychotherapy was first developed for use with suicidal active-duty U.S. Army Soldiers. For example, mental health providers at the Warrior Resiliency Program located in San Antonio Texas have successful used CAMS within a telepsychotherapy modality for several years (Waltman, Landry, Pujol, & Moore, 2019). These experienced telepsychotherapy providers use the modality to provide a range of evidence-based treatments for various mental health issues (e.g., PTSD and insomnia) and they have effectively mastered the provision of CAMS using telepsychotherapy to suicidal Soldiers serving in remote locations across the United States.

CAMS has thus been used via telepsychotherapy at other military installations, within Veterans Affairs, and within community mental health centers. The protocol has also been further adapted to use in correctional settings where therapy may be provided on both sides of a Plexiglas barrier. To date, the telepsychotherapy use of CAMS has mostly been used with the clinician in one clinical setting and the patient in a separate remote mental health clinic. But increasingly CAMS telepsychotherapy is being used with patients who are in their homes or residential settings (a trend that is markedly increasing because of the COVID-19 pandemic).

Using CAMS in a telepsychotherapy session is relatively easy and anecdotal reports indicate that patients readily adapt and may even prefer it to office-based sessions. The main difference is that instead of collaboratively completing the SSF sitting side-by-side, the clinician and patient both have a blank SSF and take turns dictating, transcribing, and comparing content for accuracy as the document is collaboratively completed in parallel using telepsychology. For example, during the Initial Session CAMS assessment, the patient writes their ratings and qualitative responses and either simultaneously or just after will dictate their responses so the therapist can complete their copy of the SSF. The therapist and patient then verify and affirm that the therapist's version is consistent with the patient's intended responses. The SSF can thus be completed in the same amount of time as an in-person session. Reports from clinicians regarding this parallel completion of the SSF actually may *increase* rapport as this collaborative process becomes a joint endeavor and patients sometimes enjoy clarifying their responses for the therapist's version. Moreover, the repetition of information as it is being dictated, transcribed, double-checked and re-affirmed appears to increase the patient's retention of the information about their SSF assessment rating and key aspects of their CAMS Stabilization Plan as well as their driver-focused treatment plan. The clinician's version of the SSF serves as the official medical record progress note; the patient retains their copy for between-session reference (i.e., for therapeutic guidance and various resources in case of crisis).

Community mental health centers that have implemented CAMS using telepsychotherapy to remote rural clinic locations have anecdotally reported that patients often do not need to be hospitalized. Patients in turn are often relieved to learn that this suicide-focused treatment does not necessarily require hospitalization, and they may therefore be more motivated and engaged in participating in an outpatient suicide prevention within telepsychotherapy use of CAMS. A pilot study is now underway with a community mental health center in an intermountain western state in the United States with patients in remote rural and frontier locations. Early feasibility use of telepsychotherapy use of CAMS has thus far revealed a reduced need for hospitalization. In addition, the number of sessions to achieve CAMS resolution is comparable to results from randomized controlled studies of CAMS using in person standard use of CAMS. It should be noted that within standard CAMS there is an overt goal of trying to work with a suicidal patient safely on an *outpatient* basis if at all possible. Within the mindset and philosophy of CAMSguided care, inpatient care should be the last possible response versus the first response.

Finally, in a university-based psychology clinic there has been clear success in the telepsychotherapy use of CAMS wherein suicidal patients are effectively engaged in their homes. The preliminary outcomes from the telepsychotherapy use of CAMS have shown that no hospitalizations have been required since initiation of CAMS using telepsychotherapy within this university-based clinic. Though clinicians may feel hesitant to use CAMS in telepsychotherapy modality with patients in their homes, thus far anecdotal clinical use of CAMS telepsychotherapy is very promising no matter where the patient is located. Indeed, a clinician in this setting noted that one of their best CAMS sessions to date occurred with an on-going patient who was located in her study with her beloved dog in her lap. While we may assume that something is lost within telepsychotherapy, our experience thus far suggests that there may be unexpected gains as well.

Real Time Response to Provide Telepsychotherapy Resources

As the COVID-19 virus transmission in the United States began increasing exponentially in mid-March and early-April 2020, CAMS-care (a limited liability company that provides CAMS-oriented professional training and consultation), moved to quickly to provide *free* resources and guidance for providing CAMS through a telepsychotherapy modality. Starting the week of March 15, initial brief videos were posted to the company's website (<u>www.camscare.com</u>) discussing the need for telepsychotherapy in times of physical distancing in order to flatten the curve of coronavirus transmission. As state and local governments ordered citizens to stay at home and maintain physical distance, mental health care professionals were abruptly thrust into an uncertain professional position wherein perforce they needed to provide alternatives to face-to-face in-office care. Moreover, specific to suicide risk, the prospect of sending a suicidal patient to an emergency department was now suddenly problematic as ED resources were so desperately needed for COVID-19 patients that quickly overwhelmed the U.S. healthcare system. Beyond two brief overview videos on the telepsychotherapy use of CAMS, an entire webpage dedicated to the topic was quickly posted on the website. The website page provided various telepsychotherapy resources that could be downloaded for clinical use, including an American Psychological Associated (APA, 2013) overview checklist for doing telepsychotherapy, an APA-generated informed consent template for doing telepsychotherapy, a protocol for using CAMS within a telepsychotherapy modality, and a CAMS Quick Reference Guide. A major emphasis in this guidance was on the importance of thorough preparation and the need for comprehensive and thoughtful informed consent, particularly related to laws about clear and imminent danger to self (and others) and the duty of licensed mental health professionals to protect patients therein. To be sure, these are thorny issues in general made even more complex and challenging within a global pandemic.

Beyond practical resources and guidance, four free video conference presentations were offered to interested providers to help facilitate their use of CAMS within our new pandemic reality. Two initial hour-long presentations about telepsychotherapy and CAMS were offered on the teleconference platform "Zoom" during the week of March 23, 2020; two additional talks were held the following week of March 30, 2020. There were 458 registrants (from five countries) seeking access to the first Zoom presentation; another 382 applicants from around the world tried to register for the second presentation that week. Since our Zoom account is limited to 300 participants, recordings of these talks were made and posted (along with PowerPoint slides) for free on the website (and it is worth noting that these materials have been downloaded almost 1300 times at the time of this writing). A more specialized Zoom presentation on the

TELEPSYCHOTHERAPY USE OF CAMS

topic of "Treating Suicidal College Students Using Telepsychotherapy: A CAMS Approach" generated a tremendous amount of interest with registration quickly meeting the 300 person limit the first day it was available; an additional 383 interested providers were unable to register but were routed to the website for free access to the recorded presentation video and slides later the same day. Needless to say, there is an apparent worldwide demand for guidance and resources as to how to appropriately use suicide-focused care within a telepsychotherapy modality.

It should be further noted that beyond our multi-focused response to provide resources to providers for the telepsychotherapy use of CAMS with suicidal patients, other suicide prevention colleagues in the field have also endeavored to provide additional resources as well. For example, Dr. Barbara Stanley at the Center for Practice Innovations at Columbia Psychiatry and the New York State Psychiatric Institute developed a 3-page handout entitled "Telehealth Tips: Managing Suicidal Clients During the COVID-19 Pandemic" https://practiceinnovations.org/Iwant-to-learn-about/Suicide-Prevention. This useful guide talks about adaptations for assessment and management of suicidal patients emphasizing the use of Safety Planning. From the Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT) perspective, Dr. Shireen Rizvi at Rutgers University developed "DBT Crisis Survival Skills" and posted these videos to YouTube for anyone to access (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=seKJvjCiT4w). This interesting series of videos provides an overview to learn about effective DBT Skills (e.g., "Wise Mind," "IMPROVE," and "PLEASE"). These skills are valuable evidence-based techniques that can be used to help deal with any crisis, which certainly applies to the COVID-19 global pandemic (as Dr. Rizvi notes in her narration). Similarly, another DBT expert, Dr. Ursula Whiteside, offers free resources and guidance on her website: https://www.nowmattersnow.org/skills. The National Suicide Prevention Lifeline (1-800-273-TALK) and the Crisis Text Line (https://www.crisistextline.org/)

are both excellent resources for suicidal people in crisis. Finally, there is an outstanding book that is thoughtfully written for suicidal people called *Choosing to Live: How to Defeat Suicide Through Cognitive Therapy* by Ellis and Newman (1996) that is a superb resource as well.

Given increased anxiety, uncertainty, and disruption to life caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, there is a need for many more resources for managing mental health issues. This is particularly true for suicidal people for whom the pandemic may increase despair and hopelessness further fueling suicidal thoughts and behaviors. While the various resources noted here will undoubtedly help those who struggle, to our knowledge the use of CAMS within a telepsychotherapy modality is the only suicide-focused evidence-based clinical *treatment* being offered to help save lives from suicide in the midst of the worldwide coronavirus pandemic.

Conclusion

Suicide is a major public health concern as a leading cause of death in the United States and around the world; millions of Americans struggle with serious suicidal thoughts each year. In the spring of 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic created a sudden and urgent need to provide effective mental health care services that can accommodate the public health need for physical distancing and reducing face-to-face exposure so as to avert possible transmission of the highly contagious and deadly coronavirus. Mental health providers have perforce been compelled to rapidly embrace the use of online technologies to provide mental health care services through various telepsychotherapy modalities. While there is a general need to provide services for a range of mental health concerns, the need for potentially life-saving care is even more urgent with people who are suicidal. As a general matter, telepsychotherapy care of suicidal patients can be challenging given the increased risk of managing a patient who is in a remote location. The COVID-19 pandemic has also created a whole new set of ethical/clinical challenges around the routine practice of routing a suicidal person to an emergency department or inpatient unit for a psychiatric admission. The potential risk of transmission and/or exposure to this highly contagious and deadly novel coronavirus makes this routine practice potentially dubious.

The Collaborative Assessment and Management of Suicidality (CAMS) has been developed to provide an effective clinical response to the challenges of suicidal risk. CAMS is an evidence-based clinical framework for providing effective suicide-focused care that is supported by five randomized controlled trials. CAMS is designed to build a strong therapeutic alliance while increasing motivation in the patient to save their life. CAMS-guided treatment targets patient-articulated problems that compel them to consider suicide (i.e., suicidal "drivers") which can be effectively treated with a range of clinical techniques across theoretical orientations (e.g., CBT, insight-oriented work, behavior activation, etc.). Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, there was a growing use of CAMS using telepsychotherapy with active duty Soldiers, suicidal outpatients in rural communities, and suicidal Veterans.

In response to the sudden need to provide telepsychotherapy services our training company quickly developed and offered free resources, clinical guidance, and synchronous and asynchronous access to on-line presentations to hundreds of mental health providers around the world. The demand for this information has been striking; mental health providers worldwide are urgently seeking effective ways to work with suicidal risk within a physical distancing pandemic reality. It is encouraging to note that other resources are being made available to help support mental health professionals and suicidal people themselves including the use of Safety Planning and DBT Skills. Considering the scope of the challenge at hand, even more resources are needed.

COVID-19 has killed thousands and destroyed lives and impacted economies worldwide; the actual magnitude of virus-related tragedies would have been utterly unimaginable in our prepandemic world. But in the face of this pandemic we are being asked to profoundly change our behaviors to help flatten the curve of transmission for the greater good of all. However, even if we do these public health measures well, thousands of lives will still be lost. It is therefore up to us to not make the scourge of this virus a double tragedy. We already know that far too many will succumb to a deadly virus that we cannot yet treat. Yet there is an emerging knowledge and the means to potentially effectively treat suicidal people to avert further loss of life. It is our contention, that we can maintain physical distance, stay at home, and not expose ourselves or our patients to increased risk of a viral transmission while we simultaneously provide effective care to suicidal people. As a worldwide mental health workforce, we therefore need to mobilize, innovate, and think outside the box—and perhaps outside our comfort zones—for the greater good so that evidence-based care can be safely and effectively provided to help save lives.

References

- American Psychological Association (2013). Guidelines for the practice of telepsychology. *American Psychologist, 68,* 791-800. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035001</u>
- Andreasson, K., Krogh, J., Wenneberg, C., Jessen, H. K., Krakauer, K., Gluud, C., ... & Nordentoft, M. (2016). Effectiveness of dialectical behavior therapy versus collaborative assessment and management of suicidality treatment for reduction of self-harm in adults with borderline —A randomized observer-blinded clinical trial. *Depression and Anxiety*, *33*, 520-530. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22472</u>
- Brancu, M., Jobes, D.A., Wagner, B.A., Greene, J.A., & Fratto, T.A. (2015). Are there linguistic markers of suicidal writing that can predict the course of treatment? A repeated measures longitudinal analysis. *Archives of Suicide Research*, 20, 438-450.

https://doi.org/10.1080/13811118.2015.1040935

- Brausch, A. M., O'Connor, S. S., Powers, J. T., McClay, M. M., Gregoray, J. A., & Jobes, D. A. (2019). Validating the suicide status form for the collaborative assessment and management of suicidality in a psychiatric adolescent sample. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*. https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12587
- Comtois, K. A., Jobes, D. A., S O'Connor, S., Atkins, D. C., Janis, K., Chessen, C., ... & Yuodelis-Flores, C. (2011). Collaborative assessment and management of suicidality (CAMS): Feasibility trial for next-day appointment services. *Depression and Anxiety*, 28, 963-972. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20895</u>
- Conrad, A. K., Jacoby, A. M., Jobes, D. A., Lineberry, T., Shea, C., Arnold Ewing, T. D., ... & Kung, S. (2009). A psychometric investigation of the Suicide Status Form II with a psychiatric inpatient sample. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, *39*, 307-320.

https://doi.org/10.1521/suli.2009.39.3.307

- Drapeau, C. W., & McIntosh, J. L. (2020). U.S.A. suicide: 2018 Official final data. Washington, DC: American Association of Suicidology, dated February 12, 2020, downloaded from http://www.suicidology.org.
- Ellis, T. E. & Newman, C. F. (1996). *Choosing to Live: How to Defeat Suicide Through Cognitive Therapy*. Oakland, CA: New Harbinger Publications, Inc.
- Ellis, T. E., Rufino, K. A., Allen, J. G., Fowler, J. C., & Jobes, D. A. (2015). Impact of a suicidespecific intervention within inpatient psychiatric care: The collaborative assessment and management of suicidality. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 45, 556-566. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12151</u>
- Ellis, T. E., Rufino, K. A., & Allen, J. G. (2017). A controlled comparison trial of the collaborative assessment and management of suicidality (CAMS) in an inpatient setting: Outcomes at discharge and six months follow up. *Psychiatry Research, 249,* 252-260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.01.032
- Gilmore, A. K. & Ward-Ciesielski, E. F. (2019). Perceived risks of use of psychotherapy via telemedicine for patients at risk for suicide. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 25*, 59-63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X17735559</u>
- Hamadi, A., Colborn, V. A., Bell, M., Chalker, S. A., & Jobes, D. A. (2019). Attentional bias and the suicide status form: Behavioral perseveration of written responses. *Behavior Research and Therapy*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2019.04.011</u>
- Huh, D., Jobes, D. A., Comtois, K. A., Kerbrat, A. H., Chalker, S. A., Gutierrez, P. M., & Jennings, K. W. (2018). The collaborative assessment and management of suicidality (CAMS) versus enhanced care as usual (E-CAU) with suicidal soldiers: Moderator

analyses from a randomized controlled trial. Military Psychology, 30,495-

506. https://doi.org/10.1080/08995605.2018.1503001

- Jobes, D. A. (2006). *Managing suicidal risk: A collaborative approach*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Jobes, D. A. (2012). The collaborative assessment and management of suicidality (CAMS): An evolving evidence-based clinical approach to suicidal risk. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 42, 640-653. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1943-278X.2012.00119.x</u>
- Jobes, D.A. (2016). *Managing suicidal risk: A collaborative approach (2nd ed.)*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Jobes, D. A. (2017). Clinical assessment and treatment of suicidal risk: A critique of contemporary care and CAMS as a possible remedy. *Practice Innovations*, 2, 207-220. <u>https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/pri0000054</u>
- Jobes, D. A. & Chalker, S. A. (2019). One size does not fit all: A comprehensive clinical approach to reducing suicidal ideation, attempts, and deaths. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16*, 1-14.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16193606

Jobes, D. A., Comtois, K.A., Gutierrez, P. M., Brenner, L. A., Huh, D., Chalker, S. A., Ruhe, G., Kerbrat, A. H., Atkins, D. C., Jennings, K., Crumlish, J., Corona, C. D., O'Connor, S., Hendricks, K. E., Schembari, B., Singer, B., & Crow, B. (2017). A randomized controlled trial of the collaborative assessment and management of suicidality versus enhanced care as usual with suicidal soldiers. *Psychiatry: Interpersonal and Biological Processes, 80,* 339–356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00332747.2017.1354607</u>

Jobes, D. A., Gregorian, M. J., & Colborn, V. A. (2018). A stepped care approach to clinical

suicide prevention. Psychological Services, 15, 243-250.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/ser0000229

- Jobes, D. A., Jacoby, A. M., Cimbolic, P., & Hustead, L. A. T. (1997). Assessment and treatment of suicidal clients in a university counseling center. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 44, 368-377. <u>https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0022-0167.44.4.368</u>
- Jobes, D. A. & Joiner, T. E. (2019). Reflections on suicidal ideation. Crisis—The Journal of Crisis Intervention and Suicide Prevention, 40, 227-230. https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000615
- Jobes, D. A., & Maltsberger, J. T. (1995). The hazards of treating suicidal patients. In M.Sussman (Ed.), *A perilous calling: The hazards of psychotherapy practice* (pp. 200-214).New York: John Wiley.
- Jobes, D. A., & Mann, R. E. (1999). Reasons for living versus reasons for dying: Examining the internal debate of suicide. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior, 29*, 97-104.
- Jobes, D. A., Nelson, K. N., Peterson, E. M., Pentiuc, D., Downing, V., Francini, K., & Kiernan, A. (2004). Describing suicidality: An investigation of qualitative SSF responses. *Suicide* and Life-Threatening Behavior, 34, 99-112. <u>https://doi.org/10.1521/suli.34.2.99.32788</u>
- Jobes, D. A., Wong, S. A., Conrad, A. K., Drozd, J. F., & Neal-Walden, T. (2005). The collaborative assessment and management of suicidality versus treatment as usual: A retrospective study with suicidal outpatients. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 35, 483-497. <u>https://doi.org/10.1521/suli.2005.35.5.483</u>
- Large, M. Ryan, C., Walsh, G., Parbury. J. S., & Patsfield, M. (2013). Nosocomial suicide. *Australasian Psychiatry*, 22, 118-121. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1039856213511277</u>

- Maris, R. W. (2019). *Suicidology: A comprehensive biopsychosocial perspective*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Pistorello, J., Jobes, D. A., Gallop, R., Compton, S. N., Locey, N. S., Au, J. S., Noose, S. K., Walloch, J. C., Johnson, J., Young, M., Dickens, Y., Chatham, P., Jeffcoat, T. (in press). A randomized controlled trial of the collaborative assessment and management of suicidality (CAMS) versus treatment as usual (TAU) for suicidal college students. *Archives of Suicide Research*. https://doi.org/10.1080/13811118.2020.1749742
- Poston, J. M., & Hanson, W. E. (2010). Meta-analysis of psychological assessment as a therapeutic intervention. *Psychological Assessment*, 22, 203-212. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018679</u>
- Ryberg, W., Diep, L., Landrø, N., & Fosse, R. (2019). Effects of the collaborative assessment and management of suicidality (CAMS) model: A secondary analysis of moderation and influencing factors. *Archives of Suicide Research*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/13811118.2019.1650143</u>
- Ryberg, W., Zahl, P. H., Diep, L. M., Landro, N. I., & Fosse, R. (2019). Managing suicidality within specialized care: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 249, 112-120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.02.022
- SAMHSA (2018). Suicidal thoughts and behaviors among adults. NSDUH Annual National Report. <u>https://www.samhsa.gov/data/report/2017-nsduh-annual-national-report</u>

The Joint Commission. (2016). Detecting and treating suicidal ideation in all settings. *Sentinel Event Alert, 56,* 1-7. <u>https://www.jointcommission.org/en/resources/patient-safety-</u> topics/sentinel-event/sentinel-event-alert-newsletters/sentinel-event-alert-56-detectingand-treating-suicide-ideation-in-all-settings/

- Yellowlees, P., Shore, J., Roberts, L. (2010). Practice guidelines for videoconferencing-based telemental health. *Telemedicine Journal and E-Health*, 16, 1074-1089. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2010.0148</u>
- Yip, P.S., Cheung, Y.T., Chau, P. H., & Law, Y.W. (2010). The impact of epidemic outbreak: The case of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and suicide among older adults in Hong Kong. *Crisis: The Journal of Crisis Intervention and Suicide Prevention, 31, 86-92.* <u>https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000015</u>



Figure 1. Rates of leading causes of death in the United States from 1968 to 2018.

CAMS Suicide Status Form Initial Session		CAMS	Suicide Status Form In	nitial Session	
tient: Kevin Clinician: David Jobes Date: 6/23 Time: noon	Section B (Clinician):			
Section A (Patient):	N Suici	de ideation Desc	ribe: 1-think about	it a lot - since 7	
Rate and fill out each item according to how you feel right now. Rank Then rank in order of importance I to 5 (Jumose important to future important)		• Frequency	_ per day per wee	ek per month	~
1) RATE PSYCHOLOGICAL PAIN (<i>hurt, anguish, or misery in your mind, <u>not</u> stress, <u>not</u> physical pain): </i>		o Duration	seconds minutes	s <u>r</u> hours all th	nc time
3 What I find most nainful is being stuck in mary own stuck	(Y N Suici	ide plan Whe Whe	The: At home before	: GF comes home	
2) RATE STRESS (your general feeling of being pressured or overwhelmed):		How	Belt	Access to m Access to m	eans N
5 Low stress: 1 2 3 4 (5) :High stress	⊗ N Suici	de preparation Deso	ribe Think about de	ath scene tried out 1	Delt
What I find most stressful is <u>Deing Nere</u>	N Suici	ide rehearsal Desc ry of suicidal babaria	cribe: <u>Put belt arou</u>	und neck	
Law agitation: 1 2 3 🕑 🖉	Sing	le attempt Desc	ribe:		
I most need to take action when: Someone does something untrustworthy	• Muli	lsivity Desu	Tibe: GF SAME	y	
4) RATE HOPELESSNESS (your expectation that things will not get better no matter what you do):	Y 🔊 Subst	tance abuse Desc	ribe:		
I am most hopeless about: anything changing	Y (N) Signi (V) N Relat	incant loss Desc ionship problems Desc	tribe:GF/ GF's mom	mother	
5) RATE SELF-HATE (your general feeling of disliking yourself; having no self-esteem; having no self-respect):	N Burd	en to others Desc h/pain probleme	ribe:		
Low self-hate: 1 2 3 4 5 High self-hate	W N Sleep	problems Desc	ribe: Only sleeps 3-4	t hours a night	
NA 6) RATE OVERALL RISK OF Extremely low risk: 1 2 3 4 5 :Extremely high risk	Y N Legal	winancial issues Desc ie Desc	tribe: everything		
SUPLING. (Will gelf) (Will kill self)	Section C (C	linician): TPE	ATMENT PLAN (Pafer in S	Sections A & B)	
1) How much is being suicidal related to thoughts and feelings about <u>yourself?</u> Not at all: 1 2 3 5 : completely 2) How much is being suicidal related to thoughts and fealings through the suicidal related to the suicidal related to the suicidal related to thoughts and fealings through the suicidal related to	Problem	Problem	Goals and Objectives	Interventions	Duration
-/		Description		Stabilization	<u>+ </u> i
Rank REASONS FOR LIVING Rank REASONS FOR DYING		Setf-Harm Potential	Safety and Stability	Plan Completed	3 months
3 my mom 1 people don't get it / they don't		0.0.1	Leal - L	Insight 4tx	
2 maybe something will 3 nothing is going to change	2	Jelf-hate	v seit-nate	BA Voc counseling	3 months
4 I don't contribute to society		People don't get	Find ways to help	Psychodynamic tx	
ends I people would be better off if	3	"Betrayal	increase A trust	BA CT?	5 months
I wish to live to the following extent: Not at all: 0 (2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ; Very much	YES 🗸	NO Patient unde	rstands and concurs with treat	tment plan?	·
имын и one to the following extent: Not at all: 0 1 2 3 @ 5 6 7 8 : Very much The one thing that would help me no longer feel suicidal would be: MB Flash thing on	YES	Patient at in	mannent danger of suicide (hos	spitalization indicated)?	
everyone and then myself	Patient Sig	nature	Cli	linician Signature	
][
	Section D (C	Clinician Post-Session	Evaluation):		
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN	Section D (C MENTAL STA	Tinician Post-Session	Evaluation):		
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means:	Section D (C MENTAL STA ALIETNESS	Ilinician Post-Session ATUS EXAM (circle a :	Evaluation): ppropriate items): D DROWSY LITHLARGIC s	STUPORDAS	
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. Conversation with girlfriend about Knife	Section D (C MENTAL SIA ALERDESS ORIENTED T MODE:	Tinician Post-Session <u>TUS EXAM</u> (circle a : <u>GLI3</u> OTIL : <u>GLI3</u> DTIL : <u>GLI3</u>	Evaluation): ppropriate items): DEXMY LITHARCIC 15 CALON THE DEVAND DEXMY LITHARCIC 15 DEXMIT	STUPGROUS	
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. Conversation with girlfriend about Knife 2. Remove the belt	Section D (C MENTAL ST/ ALISTNASS ONINTOP MODI: AVECT: TROGGTC	Inician Post-Session TUS EXAM (circle a : dura ofili ofili to to to to to to to to to to to to to	Evaluation; ppropriate items): DROWSY LITHARGIC S TALLITHARGIC CONSTRUCTED I MUNTED CONSTRUCTED MACCORERED CONJUNCTED	STUPOROLS THE REALISTOP MILITATIO MARKING COnsumation And Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Annue Consumation Co	NTIAL
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. <u>Conversation with girlfriend about Knife</u> 2. <u>Remove the belt</u>	Section D (C MENTAL 571 ALINTNASS ORGOTO AFFECT TIDESCHITC	Inician Post-Session TUS EXAM (circle a Control of the session TUS EXAM (circle a OTHER TUS EXAM (circle a TUS EXAM (cir	Evaluation; propriate items): Doowsy Littlackor s Research of the construction Research of the construction Research of the construction of construction construction of construction construction of construction constructions	STUPOROUS TOREVALUATED AND ADMINISTRATIO AND TO TANGUTHAL GREAMET DEAS OF REPENSE BIZARE	NTIAL MORBID
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. <u>Conversation with girlfriend about Knife</u> 2. <u>Remove the belt</u> 3.	Section D (C MENTAL ST2 ALIKINSS ORISTIP MOST: Thosair c Theoair c ABSTRACTIC	Inician Post-Session TUS EXAM (circle a : Correction of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the se	Evaluation): propriate tema): prover terminador se prover terminador se	STURGROUS TOR STALLATOR MATTER AND AND AND AND THE TANGENTIAL CIRCUMSTA DEAS OF RUPPENCE. BEAARE	NTIAL MORBIDI
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. <u>CONVERSATION With Girlfriend about Knife</u> 2. <u>Remove</u> the belt 3 Things I can do to cope differently when I am in a suicide crisis (consider crisis card):	Section D (C MENTAL SIZ ALERTWS ORISTID MODIN AFRET: THOMIT O THOMIT O THOM	Tinician Post-Session TTUS EXAM (circle a OTTO TTUS EXAM (circle a OTTO TTUS EXAM (circle a OTTO OTTO ONITION (CIRC) OTTO OTTO OTTO OTTO OTTO	Evaluation): propriate temp: DORSNY LITENAGO S DORSNY LITENAGO S D	STUPOROUS TPER EVALUATION TO AUTATID ANDRY OFFICIENT JAMOR CHECKENT THO TAMORTHAL CHECKENT IDEAS OF RUPERINCE BEARE MOVERSHED TO AUTOR	NTIAL NESS MORBIDI
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PIAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1CONVERSATION_With_Girlfriend_about Knife 2. <u>Femove_the belt</u> 3 Things I can do to cope differently when I am in a suicide crisis (consider crisis card): 1Evercise	Section D (C MENTAL ST/ ALERNAS ORIGINA THORAGE THORAGE THORAGE THORAGE SPEICE MEMORY ST	Tinician Post-Session TTUS EXAM (cirele a : Correction of the session of the se	Evaluation): propriate item): propriate item): Evaluation in the item is the item is the item in the item is th	TUPOROUS TOR TVALLATES TOR TVALLATES TO ANGINTAL AROUNT TRADITIONAL CROWNAL TRADITION AND TANGINTAL CROWNAL TORAS OF REFERENCE BEARING INFOVERSING DECORRECT	NTIAL NESS MORBID
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. Conversation with girlfriend about Knife 2. Remove the belt 3. Things I can do to cope differently when I am in a suicide crisis (consider crisis card): 1. Exercise 2. Watch "Breaking Bad"	Section D (C MENTAL SIZ ALERNESS ORINITO MOGOL THOSAITO THOSAITO ABRIACTO SPECIC MEMORY REALTY TE	Tinician Post-Session TTUS EXAM (circle a correction of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the session of the sessio	Evaluation;: propriate items): D BOOWY LETINAGO 12 D BOOWY LETINAGO 2 MUNIC BLYNED CONTRECT MUNIC BLYNED CONTRECT CONTRECT CONTRECT ON FORMAN CONCERNENT D MOTAL ON ALIGNED D MOT	STUPOROUS TOR PERUIDARD DI AUTOTO DELAS OF REFERENCE DELAS OF REFERENCE DELAS OF REFERENCE DELAS OF REFERENCE MOVVERSINED NOVVERSINED NOVVERSINED	NTIAL NESS MORBID
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. <u>Conversation with girlfriend about Knife</u> 2. <u>Remove the belt</u> 3 Things I can do to cope differently when I am in a suicide crisis (consider crisis card): 1. <u>Evercise</u> 2. <u>Watch "Breaking Bad"</u> 3. <u>Write in journal</u>	Section D (C MENTAL ST/2 ALIATIVAS ORINITOT ORIGITOT TROSGITO TROSGITO ABUTATO SPRICE MEMORY REALITY TE NOTABLE IN	Ilinician Post-Session Intil EXAM (circle a : Gradie : Gradie <t< td=""><td>Evaluation;; propriate items): Decays Leminador a Decay Charlo Castron Internation Construction International Construction</td><td>STUVEROLS THE REALISTICATION OF DUCATION OF DUCATION O</td><td>NTIAL MORBID</td></t<>	Evaluation;; propriate items): Decays Leminador a Decay Charlo Castron Internation Construction International Construction	STUVEROLS THE REALISTICATION OF DUCATION OF DUCATION O	NTIAL MORBID
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. <u>Conversation with girlfriend about Kriefe</u> 2. <u>Remove the belt</u> 3. Things I can do to cope differently when I am in a suicide crisis (consider orisis card): 1. <u>Evercise</u> 2. <u>Watch</u> "Breaking Bad" 3. <u>Write in journal</u> 4. <u>Read</u> "Charseion to Live"	Section D (C MENTAL ST/L ALISTNASS ORIGINT MODI: AVECT: TROGGET C TROGGET C MEMORY: REALITY TE NOTAILE IN DIAGNOSTIC	Ilinician Post-Sessian XTUS EXAM (circle a : diss : diss : mention	Evaluation); propriate liems): posswy Limitador and second the second second second multiple construction multiple construction possibility operating possibility operating pos	STUPOROUS TOREVALUATED AND A TOREVALUATED AND A TOREAS OF REPEATE BLARKE MICOVERSHED INCOHERENT	NTIAL NESS MORBIDE
CAMS suicide Status form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. <u>CONVERSATION with Girlfriend about Kriefe</u> 2. <u>Remove the belt</u> 3 Things I can do to cope differently when I am in a suicide crisis (consider crisis card): 1. <u>Exercise</u> 2. <u>Watch "Breaking Bad"</u> 3. <u>Write in journal</u> 4. <u>Read "Choosing to Live"</u>	Section D (C MENTAL SIZ ALERINSS ORISITED MODIN AFRET: THOSHIT C THOSHIT C THOSHIT C THOSHIT C THOSHIT C THOSHIT C THOSHIT C MEMORY REALTY TE NOTABLE IN DIAGNOSTIC	Timician Post-Session TTUS EXAM (circle a :: Circle a :: MRRESSSIONS/DI :: Circle a :: Circle a	Evaluation): propriate temo): process terminance: s process terminance: termina	STURGAUS TORINALIATION TORINALIATION MORENTAL CARGINAL THE TANGGRITHAL CIRCLENT MOVERSHED INCOHERINT MOVERSHED INCOHERINT AGNOSES:	NTIAL NESS MORBID
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. CONVERSATION with girlfriend about Knife 2. Remove the belt 3 Things I can do to cope differently when I am in a suicide crisis (consider crisis card): 1. Evercise 2. Watch "Breaking Bad" 3. Write in journal 4. Read 'Choosing to Live" 5. Walk to Local Best Buy	Section D (C MENTAL ST/ ALERINAS ONEODO WATCH THOSHIT C THOSHIT C ANTICH THOSHIT C ANTICH SPEICH MENORY REALTY REALTY DIAGNOSTIC DA	Ithician Post-Session	Evaluation): propriate item): propriate item): Evaluation item item as constant of the item item item as constant of the item item item item as constant of the item item item item item item as constant of the item item item item item as constant of the item item item item item item item ite	STUPOROUS THE EVALUATION THE EVALUATION THE EVALUATION THE EVALUATION THE OFFICE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH	NTIAL NESS MORBID
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. CONVERSATION with Girlfriend about Knife 2. Remove the belt 3. Things I can do to cope differently when I am in a suicide crisis (consider crisis card): 1. Exercise 2. Watch "Breaking Bad" 3. Write in journal 4. Read 'Choosing to Live" 5. Walk to local Best Buy 6. Ute or death emergency contact number: <u>555-750-1093</u>	Section D (C MERITAL STA ALERNESS ORIENTO MODIO THORHTO ABSTRACTA SPELOT MEMORY REALTY NOTABLE IN DIAGNOSTIC DZ	Ilmician Post-Session	Evaluation: propriate items): D BOOWY LETINAGE 12 D BOOWY LETINAGE CANNER MUNIC BUYNED CONTREL D BOOWY LETINAGE 12 D BOOWY LETINA	STUPOROUS TOR UNALLINERD TOR UNALLINERD TOR UNALLINERD TOR OF NOTATION TOPAGEMENT TOPAGE	NTAL NESS MORBID
CAMS Suicide Setus Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. <u>Conversation with girlfriend about Knife</u> 2. <u>Conversation with girlfriend about Knife</u> 3	Section D (C MENTAL ST/ ALERINSS ORIENTO MOGO: AVECT TROGETO TROGETO AUSTRACT MEMORY: REALTY TE NOTALE IN DIAGNOSTIC DC PATIENT'S O	Tinician Post-Session TUS EXAM (circle a Corrino OTHORNO ONTHORNO Effort a fill for a fill of	Evaluation;: propriate items): Drokowy Lemandor so Drokowy Lemandor (Drokowie HLAND) CONTROL Drokowy Lemandor (Drokowie HLAND) CONTROL Drokowy Lemandor Drokowy Lemand	STUFOROLS THE REALISTOP THE REALISTOP STUTION THE REALISTOP STUTION TO FILE THE THE REALISTOP THE RE	NTAL NESS MORBOO
CAMS Suicide Status form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. <u>Conversation with girlfriend about Kriefe</u> 2. <u>Remove the belt</u> 3. Things (and to coope differently when I am in a suicide crisis (consider orisis card): 1. <u>Exercise</u> 2. <u>Watch "Breaking Bad"</u> 3. <u>Write in journal</u> 4. <u>Read 'Choosing to Live"</u> 5. <u>Walk to local Best Buy</u> 6. Life of death emergency contact number: <u>555-750-1093</u> 1.4500-273-TALK People I can call for help or to decrease my loolator:	Section D (C MENTAL STA ALIENTAS ONINITO ONINITO TRADUITO TRADUITO TRADUITO ABITACITY REALITY TE NOTABLE IN DIAGNOSTIC DAGNOSTIC DAGNOSTIC DAGNOSTIC	Tinician Post-Session Tinician Post-Session III SEXAM (circle a III SEXAM (circle a III SEX (circle a	Evaluation;; propriate liem): Decovy Limitador a Decovy Limitador a successible of the second successible of the second black muscessible of the second black of the second black provide seco	STUPOROUS TOREVALUATED AND AND A CONTRACT AND A CON	NTIAL NESS MORBIDE
CAMS suicide Status form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. <u>Conversation with Girlfriend about Kriefe</u> 2. <u>Remove the belt</u> 3. This I can do to cope differently when I am in a suicide crisis (consider crisis card): 1. <u>Evercise</u> 2. <u>Watch "Breaking Bad"</u> 3. <u>Write in journal</u> 4. <u>Read "Choossing to Live"</u> 5. <u>Walk to local Best Buy</u> 6. Ule or death emergency contact number: <u>555-150-1093</u> 1. 4000. 2735. TALK Prople I can call for help or to decrease my location: 5.	Section D (C MENTAL SIZ ALERTWAS OWNTHD T MODEL THOUSE TO THOUSE TO THOUSE TO THOUSE TO ABERACT SPECE BRAITY TE NOTALE IN DIACONSTIC DZ DZ DZ DZ DZ DZ DZ DZ DZ DZ DZ DZ DZ	IInician Post-Session III. EXAM (circle a III. II. II. II. II. II. II. II. II. II	Evaluation: propriate liems): DROWY LETINGOC 23 DROWY LETINGOC 23 DROWY LETINGOC 20 DROWY LETINGOC 20 DROWN DROW HUMBED 1 MURTING CONSTRUCT MAND NAME AND NAMED 1 DROWN MURBED 1 MINIMAD AGNOSIS (DSM/CD DIA Majoc Depres- USK LEVEL (check one ar planation: Util plc. alt conf. the conf. of the conf. of the conf. DROWN DROWN MURBED 1 MAJOC DROWN MURBED 1 MAJO	TUFOROUS TOR GOVERNMENT TOR GOVERNMENT TOR OF AND ONLY TORO	NTIAL NESS MORBIDE
CAMS Suicide Status form STABILIZATION PIAN Ways to reduce access to initial means: 1. Conversation with girlfriend about knife	Section D (C MENTAL ST/ ALERNAS ORIGINATION ALERNAS ORIGINATION TROUGHT TROUGHT ALERNAS SPEICIE MENORY REALTY SPEICIE NOTABLE IN DIAGNOSTIC DIA	Timician Post-Session STUS EXAM (circle a : Correl : MPRESSESIONSCO : Correl : Corre	Evaluation): propriate items): propriate items): Construction of the items of the items of the items of the item of the ite	STUPOROUS THE REVAILATION THE	VITAL NESS MORBIDA
CAMS Suicide Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: 1. CONVERSATION with girlfriend about Knife. 2. Remove the belt 3	Section D (C MERITAL STA ALERNESS ORIENTO MAGAIN ALERNESS ORIENTO MINIOR THOUGHT O ABISTACT MENORY REALTY DIAGNOSTIC DIAG	TINICIAN POST-SESSION TUS EXAM (circle a common second s	Evaluation: propriate items): DROWY LETINAGE 12 DROWY LETINAGE 12 DROWY LETINAGE 12 DROWS DROW CAREFUL CONTROL CONTROL DROWS DROWS DRUGOD DROWS	STUPOROUS THE REAL LARGED AND	re rcicidal 3 months
CAMS Suicide Status form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: <td>Section D (C MENTAL SIZ ALERNESS ORINITY MOGOL MICOL THOUSING THOUSING ALERNESS MENGEY REALTY TE NOTALE IN DIAGNOSTIC DIA</td> <td>Imician Post-Session TUIS EXAM (circle a CONTRACTOR (Circle a CIRCLE a CIRCLE a VERALL SUICIDE F CINTL/REL (CIRCLE a CINTL/REL (CIRCLE a) CINTL/REL (CIRCLE a) CINTL/REL (CIRCLE a) CIRCLE a)</td> <td>Evaluation; propriate items): Docovy Lemandor s Europe Contraction of the second manufacture of the second of the second second of the second of the se</td> <td>STUROROUS THE REALISTORY STUROROUS THE REALISTORY STUROROUS THE REALISTORY STUROROUS THERE AND ANONY THE REALISTORY THE REALIS</td> <td>NTAL NESS MORBO</td>	Section D (C MENTAL SIZ ALERNESS ORINITY MOGOL MICOL THOUSING THOUSING ALERNESS MENGEY REALTY TE NOTALE IN DIAGNOSTIC DIA	Imician Post-Session TUIS EXAM (circle a CONTRACTOR (Circle a CIRCLE a CIRCLE a VERALL SUICIDE F CINTL/REL (CIRCLE a CINTL/REL (CIRCLE a) CINTL/REL (CIRCLE a) CINTL/REL (CIRCLE a) CIRCLE a)	Evaluation; propriate items): Docovy Lemandor s Europe Contraction of the second manufacture of the second of the second second of the second of the se	STUROROUS THE REALISTORY STUROROUS THE REALISTORY STUROROUS THE REALISTORY STUROROUS THERE AND ANONY THE REALISTORY THE REALIS	NTAL NESS MORBO
CAMPS Subject Status from STADILIZATION PLAN Ways Marca can be able able and and and a block fraging Conversion with girlfriend about fraging Conversion w	Section D (C MENTAL ST/A ALERINSS ORINITO THOSGIT C THOSGIT C THOSGIT C THOSGIT C THOSGIT C THOSGIT C THOSGIT C MEMORY: REALTY FE NOTAILE IN DIAGNOSTIC D A PATIENTS O I LOW I CASE NOTES KEVIN VICTO TO C	Ilmician Post-Session ITUS EXAM (circle a Correst	Evaluation; propriate items): Decomposities tems): Decomposities tems): Decomposities tems): Decomposities tempson muscrise textures tempson muscrise textures tempson mortain concentre Decomposities textures tempson major Depress assessment ratings textures textures tex	STURGROUS TOREVALUATION TOREVALUATION TOREVALUATION TOREVALUATION TOREVALUATION TOREVALUATION TOREVALUE TO	re icidal 3 months and livin celess and
CAMS Suicide Status form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to letable means: <td< td=""><td>PATIENT'S O</td><td></td><td>Evaluation):</td><td>STUPOROUS TO PORTATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN</td><td>re isidal 3 months and living isidal 2 skills isidal</td></td<>	PATIENT'S O		Evaluation):	STUPOROUS TO PORTATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	re isidal 3 months and living isidal 2 skills isidal
CAMS Subdic Status form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to lethal means: Conversation with girlfriend about Knife Remove the belt These I can do to cope differently when I am in a subide crisis (consider crisis card): Kerccise Write in journal Write in journal Write in journal Write in journal Used to deal Best Evy Malk to I call Best Evy Isoo 2 73 5 TABLE About to the dealese my isolation: Main Ma	Section D (C MENTAL ST/ ALERNAS ORIENTO THEORIT THEORIT SPECIE MENORY REALTY PATIENTS O PATIENTS O PATIENTS O LOW CASE NOTES LOW CASE NOTES	TINICIAN POST-SESSION TTUS EXAM (cirele a CONTRACT, CONTRACT, CO	Evaluation: Evaluation: Propriate items): Construction of the second	ATUTORIOUS TORE MANAGEMENT TORE MANAGEMENT TORE AND AND A TORE CONCERNMENT TORE CONCERNMENT TORES OF REFERENCE TORES OF REFEREN	vital NESS MORBIDE
CAMS Subject Status Form STABILIZATION PLAN Ways to reduce access to testial means: Convertigation with girlfriend about Knife Remove the belt These I can do to coope differently when I am in a subide orbis (consider orbis card) Convertigation with girlfriend about Knife Remove the belt These I can do to coope differently when I am in a subide orbis (consider orbis card) Convertigation good Status the local Beat Bay Convertigation to decrease my isolation: Convertigation decrease my isolation: Access the orbit of the decrease my isolation: Convertigation Conv	Section D (C MENTAL STA ALERNESS ORIENTE THORATIC THORATIC ALERNESS ORIENTE MENORY REALTY PATIENTS O PATIENTS O PATIENTS O CASE NOTES KeVIAT UGALS I CASE NOTES KEVIAT	TINICIAN POST-SESSION TUS EXAM (circle a CITUS EXAM (circle a C	Evaluation:: propriate items): DROWY LETINAGE 12 DROWY LETINAGE CONTROL MUNICOL DRAWNED CONTROL MUNICOL DRAWNED CONTROL CONTROL ON ALTERNAL STATUSCON DRAWNED DATA SLOW ALTERNAL DRAWNED CONTROL DRAWNED	ITUPORIOUS THE WALLARD ANGRY GETERATED LARLE GETERATED LARLE TOLSOF REFERENCE BEAREE INTOVERSITED INCOMERSIT INCOVERSITED INCOMERSIT INCOVERSITED INCOMERSIT INTOVERSITED INCOMERSITE INTOVERSITED INCOMERSITED INTOVERSITED INCOMERSITE INTOVERSITED INCOMERSITE INTOVERSITED INCOMERSITED INTOVERSITED INTO	re cidal 3 monteixa cidal 3 monteixa cidal 2 secular cidal 2 secular cidal
Description provide status Using the order access to that means: a	Section D (C MENTAL SIZ ALERNESS ORINITY TROGUTO TROGUTO ABURACTO SPUCT MENORY REALTY TE NOTALE BU DIAGNOSTIC	Imician Post-Sestion TUIS EXAM (circle a OTH OTH OTH OTH OTH OTH OTH OTH	Evaluation;: propriate items): DROWN LEINANCE 12 DROWN LEINANCE CONTRACT ILLICTUC CONTRECTOR ILLICTUC CONTRECTOR CONTRACT CONTRECTOR NOTABLY CONTRECTOR NOTABLY CONTRECTOR NOTABLY CONTRECTOR NOTABLY CONTRECTOR NOTABLY CONTRECTOR NOTABLY CONTRECTOR NOTABLY CONTRECTOR DROMAN CONTRECTOR DISK LEVEL (check one an planation: DISK LEVEL (check one an DISK DISK DISK DISK DISK DISK DISK DISK	ITUROROUS THE INCLUSION THE INCLUSION THE INCLUSION THE INCLUSION THERE INCLUSION THERE INCLUSION THERE INCLUSION THERE INCLUSION THE INCLUSIO	NTAL NESS MORBO

Figure 2. Case example of the first session version of the CAMS Suicide Status Form.